

**CALGARY
ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD
DECISION WITH REASONS**

In the matter of the complaint against the Property assessment as provided by the *Municipal Government Act*, Chapter M-26.1, Section 460(4).

between:

Altus Group, COMPLAINANT

and

The City Of Calgary, RESPONDENT

before:

W. Kipp, Presiding Officer

K. Kelly, Board Member

J. Massey, Board Member

This is a complaint to the Calgary Assessment Review Board in respect of a Property assessment prepared by the Assessor of The City of Calgary and entered in the 2010 Assessment Roll as follows:

ROLL NUMBER: 068054998

LOCATION ADDRESS: 117 – 5 Avenue SW, Calgary AB

HEARING NUMBER: 58479

ASSESSMENT: \$862,960,000

This complaint was heard on the 28th day of September, 2010 at the office of the Assessment Review Board located at Floor Number 4, 1212 – 31 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta, Boardroom 7.

Appeared on behalf of the Complainant:

- D. Genereux

Appeared on behalf of the Respondent:

- W. Krysinski & A. Czechowskyj

Board's Decision in Respect of Procedural or Jurisdictional Matters:

This was one of 17 hearings regarding Class A and AA office buildings in the Calgary downtown that were scheduled to be heard during the week of September 27 to October 5, 2010. At the outset, the Complainant requested a postponement because notice for these hearings had been relatively short and a number of personnel from the Complainant company (Altus Group) were unavailable to attend and provide evidence. No alternative dates were suggested for a continuation.

The Respondent objected to the CARB granting any postponement, arguing that both parties had agreed to these current hearing dates and that there had been sufficient notice. Further, there had already been hearings and decisions rendered on "global issues" which pertained to all of the Class A-AA office building complaints by this Complainant so these hearings were to address "site specific" matters for those properties where there were site specific issues. There was no exceptional circumstance for granting a postponement. The Complainant was aware of these hearing dates, having agreed to them, and the individuals who had prepared the evidence materials should have been present and prepared to proceed.

Decision of the CARB on the Postponement Request:

The CARB denied the request for a postponement of the hearings. These hearings had been scheduled for the week commencing September 27th, with agreement of both parties, so both parties should have been prepared. Having regard to the Complainant's argument that the individuals who were familiar with specific properties and who had prepared the evidence materials for those properties were unable to attend the hearings, the CARB is accustomed to receiving evidence and hearing argument from someone other than the individual who inspected the subject property and prepared the documents.

The CARB is concerned that a postponement of these hearings until late November, which appeared to be the only alternative hearing dates, would not be practical given the number of outstanding complaints and the December 31st deadline for issuance of written decisions.

The CARB informed the parties that it would make every effort to arrange the order of the hearings to accommodate the parties in having the appropriate individuals present.

Section 15(1) of the *Matters Relating to Assessment Complaints Regulation* prohibits an assessment review board from granting a postponement or adjournment except in exceptional circumstances. The reasons given by the Complainant in this postponement request were not considered to be exceptional circumstances.

Property Description:

Suncor Energy Centre (formerly Petro-Canada Centre) – a two building complex on a 117,279 square foot land parcel between 5 and 6 Avenues SW on the east side of 1 Street SW. The buildings are 32 and 52 storeys high and contain a total of 1,731,337 square feet of rentable area, including a 4,820 square foot food court on the +15 level and 14,341 square feet of main floor retail space. There are parking stalls for 850 vehicles in an underground parkade. The development was completed in 1984. This Class AA office complex is located in the DT1 Downtown market area.

Issues:

The Complainant raised the following matters in section 4 of the complaint form:
Assessment amount (No. 3 on the form) and Assessment class (No. 4 on the form).

The Complainant also raised 17 specific issues in section 5 of the Complaint form but all of these related to "Global issues" which were the basis of the complaints on all downtown Class A and AA properties where Altus Group was the Complainant as agent for the various owners.

There were no issues that were specific to this property.

At this hearing, the Complainant carried forward all of the arguments regarding global issues.

Complainant's Requested Value:

\$661,512,500

Board's Decision in Respect of Each Matter or Issue:

Various Calgary CARB panels have heard the global or common issues evidence and argument at prior hearings regarding complaints against Class A-AA office building assessments and a number of decisions have been rendered in regard to those complaints.

The issues were:

1. Office Rental Rate
2. Vacancy allowance
3. Capitalization rate

The most recent decision, CARB 1657/2010-P, issued on 27 September 2010, dealt with each of these three issues. The findings and reasoning will not be repeated in this decision.

The findings on these three issues remain the same as in that prior decision. The rental rates, vacancy allowance rates and capitalization rate were all found to be reasonable for the property. The reasoning for this decision, based on the findings remains the same as in CARB 1657/2010-P. For details of the findings and reasons for decision, CARB 1657/2010-P should be read.

Board's Decision:

The 2010 assessment is confirmed at \$862,960,000.

It is so ordered.

DATED AT THE CITY OF CALGARY THIS 28 DAY OF OCTOBER 2010.



W. Kipp
Presiding Officer

SUMMARY OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit

C1 Assessment Review Board Complaint Form
R1 Respondent's Assessment Brief
Plus documents relating to Class A and AA global/common issues

An appeal may be made to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction with respect to a decision of an assessment review board.

Any of the following may appeal the decision of an assessment review board:

- (a) the complainant;*
- (b) an assessed person, other than the complainant, who is affected by the decision;*
- (c) the municipality, if the decision being appealed relates to property that is within the boundaries of that municipality;*
- (d) the assessor for a municipality referred to in clause (c).*

An application for leave to appeal must be filed with the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days after the persons notified of the hearing receive the decision, and notice of the application for leave to appeal must be given to

- (a) the assessment review board, and*
- (b) any other persons as the judge directs.*